



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------------|------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/733,036 | 12/11/2000 | | Kenji Yamauchi | 249331/98DIV | 2508 |
| 21254 | 7590 | 12/17/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| MCGINN & | & GIBB, | PLLC | LEUNG, QUYEN PHAN | | |
| 8321 OLD C | COURTHO | OUSE ROAD | | ART UNIT | |
| SUITE 200 | SUITE 200 | | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| VIENNA, VA 22182-3817 | | | | 2828 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003 | • |

DATE MAILED: 12/17/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | and | | | | | |
|---|------------------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
| Office Action Summany | 09/733,036 | YAMAUCHI | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Quyen P. Leung | 2828 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 Se | eptember 2003. | | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This a | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,21-23 and 26-36</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>21-23 and 26-30</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 31-36</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | . ,— . | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | • | · · | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. Attachment(s) | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Intensions Summans | (PTO 413) Paper No(a) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of References Cited (F10-692) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Informal Pa | (PTO-413) Paper No(s) atent Application (PTO-152) | | | | | |

Art Unit: 2828

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. In response to applicant's amendment filed 9/23/03, claims 1-3, 23, 26, 31, have been amended, claims 34-36 added and claims 5-9 and 25 canceled.

Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 9/23/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argued the following:

"Sasaki does not teach or suggest <u>a first mark and a second mark which are both</u>
on the laser diode chip, and a second mark which is positioned oppositely to a
substrate-side mark formed on the substrate."

In response, it is noted that it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Since claim 1 relates to a chip (see line 1) and since the recitations of a substrate (see line 3 and lines 5-6) to which the chip is mounted clearly distinguish the substrate as an separate entity from the chip, the mounting of the chip to the substrate is viewed as an intended use and not a requirement of the claim. Further, regarding claim 31, the recitation that the chip is "to be mounted on a substrate for an optical module" has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a

Application/Control Number: 09/733,036 Page 3

Art Unit: 2828

self-contained description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie*, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-3 and 31-36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sasaki (JP 09-145965-A). Sasaki discloses a semiconductor laser diode chip comprising a first mark (4a) and a second mark (5).

It is noted that it has been held that a recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus satisfying the claimed structural limitations. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987). Since claim 1 relates to a chip (see line 1) and since the recitations of a substrate (see line 3 and lines 5-6) to which the chip is mounted clearly distinguish the substrate as an entity from the chip, the mounting of the chip to the substrate is viewed as an intended use and not a requirement of the claim.

Regarding claim 31, the recitation that the chip is "to be mounted on a substrate for an optical module" has not been given patentable weight because it has been held that a preamble is denied the effect of a limitation where the claim is drawn to a structure and the portion of the claim following the preamble is a self-contained

Art Unit: 2828

description of the structure not depending for completeness upon the introductory clause. *Kropa v. Robie*, 88 USPQ 478 (CCPA 1951).

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 21-23, 26-30 are allowed.

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Quyen P. Leung whose telephone number is (571)272-1943. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-5:00, M-F.

Application/Control Number: 09/733,036

Art Unit: 2828

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Ip can be reached on (571)272-1941. The fax phone number for the

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571)2721975.

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Quyen P. Leung Primary Examiner Art Unit 2828 Page 5

QPL

۰° .